1	MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) MJacobs@mofo.com					
2	ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490) AGonzalez@mofo.com					
3	ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)					
4	ETate@mofo.com RUDY Y. KIM (CA SBN 199426)					
5	RKim@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP					
6	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482					
7	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522					
8	KAREN L. DUNN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) kdunn@bsfllp.com					
9	HAMISH P.M. HUME (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) hhume@bsfllp.com					
10	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 1401 New York Avenue, N.W.					
11	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: 202.237.2727					
12	Facsimile: 202.237.6131					
13	Counsel for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.					
14	and OTTOMOTTO LLC					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
18	WAYMO LLC,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA				
19	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AND				
20	v.	OTTOMOTTO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE				
21	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS TO THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF				
22	Defendants.	WAYMO LLC'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE				
23	Defendants.	PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT. 1276)				
24		002 02 (2 1110)				
25		Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup Trial Date: October 10, 2017				
26						
27						
28						

1	Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies,					
2	Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (collectively, "Uber") submit this administrative motion for an order to					
3	file under seal exhibits to Uber's Opposition to Plaintiff Waymo LLC's Motion for Relief from					
4	Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 1276) ("Opposition Brief"). Specifically,					
5	Uber requests an order granting leave to file under seal the following:					
6	Document	ument Portions to Be Filed Seal		Designating Party		
7	Opposition Brief	Highlighted P	ortions	Plaintiff		
8	Exhibit 1 to the Liu Entire Docu Declaration		ent	Plaintiff		
10						
11	The highlighted portions of the Opposition Brief and entirety of Exhibit 1 contain					
12	information that Waymo designated or considers "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only"					
13	pursuant to the Protective Order in this case, or that Waymo asked Uber to file under seal. (See					
14	Liu Decl. ISO Uber's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal, ¶ 3.)					
15	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Uber will lodge with the Clerk the documents at					
16	issue, with accompanying chamber copies.					
17	Dated: August 24, 2017		BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP			
18	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$					
19	By: <u>/s/ Karen L. Dunn</u> Karen L. Dunn					
20	Counsel for Defendants					
21	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND OTTOMOTTO LLC					
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28			1			